



**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51084-2025

Date of Decision: 11.11.2025

RUPINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Kamal Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Sahib Singh.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.53 dated 03.04.2025, registered under Section 420 of IPC at Police Station Jamalpur, District Police Commissionerate.

2. In compliance of order dated 10.11.2025 passed by this Court, status report by way of affidavit of Inderjit Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Industrial Area-A, Ludhiana filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. On 11.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.53 dated 03.04.2025, registered under Section 420 of IPC at Police Station Jamalpur, District Police Commissionerate.

Notice of motion. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard.



As per allegations in the FIR, complainant had approached the petitioner, who is a travel agent, to send her to Dubai on a work permit visa and she allegedly paid a sum of Rs.87,000/- to the petitioner and his men. However, instead of arranging a work permit visa, he arranged a tourist visa and when she reached Dubai, she came to know of this fact and thereafter, she returned and alleged that petitioner has cheated her for a sum of Rs.87,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner was paid only Rs.40,000/- and no other amount was paid to him by the complainant. It has been alleged that a sum of Rs.10,000/- was transferred by her in the account of petitioner on 02.08.2025 but infact it is the petitioner, who had transferred this amount in the account of the complainant as is apparent from the statement of account placed on file as Annexure P-2. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that such type of offences are increasing day by day and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is essential to find out the modus operandi adopted by the petitioner, while cheating her. He further submitted that petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 11.11.2025 for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for



interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

4. Today, on instructions from ASI Sahib Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 11.09.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 11.09.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

6. Disposed of.

7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

11.11.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No