

2025:PHHC:172973



S. No.227

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51264 of 2025

Date of Decision:10.12.2025

Dheeraj @ Neeraj
Vs.
State of Haryana

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present:- Mr. E.A. Goerge, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasmine Gill, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Imaan Singh Khara, Advocate for the victim-
complainant.

Yashvir Singh Rathor, J. (Oral)

1. This is first petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.144 dated 02.04.2025 registered under Sections 109(1), 3(5), 351(2), 61(2) of BNS and 25(6)-54-59 of Arms Act at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, Haryana.

2. As per prosecution case, one Happy @ Harpreet Singh was returning from the Court Complex, Karnal along with his friend Sonu Khan and at about 12:00/12:30 PM, when he reached near his vehicle parked behind the office of Municipal Corporation, Karnal, two boys travelling on a motor-cycle started firing shots at him with an intention to kill him and during the firing, he suffered two bullet injuries while one unknown person also suffered bullet injury. Thereafter, injured was taken to Amrit Dhara Hospital for treatment. It was alleged in the FIR lodged by the wife of the injured that Harvinder alias Lucky, Amrit Pal @ Chhota



Happy, Manoj and Jeeta had threatened to kill her husband who are the persons having criminal bent of mind and they all in collusion with each other, have made the present attempt to commit the murder of her husband. After registration of FIR, matter was investigated. Accused Harvinder was arrested and in his disclosure statement, he admitted his involvement and also nominated the present petitioner – Dheeraj @ Neeraj as the person to whom the weapon was given and he along with one Navjit alias Ladi had gone on a motor-cycle and Navjit had fired at the victim. Thereafter, petitioner – Dheeraj was arrested on 04.04.2025 and he also suffered disclosure statement to the same effect, admitting his involvement in committing the crime and joint recovery of 4ountry-made pistol of .32 bore and 4 live cartridges was effected from petitioner and co-accused Navjit alias Ladi. It was also revealed during investigation that there were conversations between the present petitioner and the co-accused and he was present at the scene of crime.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the material placed on record. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the victim – complainant has been taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated. He has been nominated as an accused by the main accused namely Harvinder Singh who had allegedly hatched conspiracy to commit the murder of the victim along with Amrit Pal and Pranav. Learned counsel further contended that disclosure statement suffered by co-accused Harvinder as well as own disclosure statement suffered by petitioner are not admissible in evidence and



cannot be used against him. Co-accused Harvinder Singh who had nominated the present petitioner as well as co-accused Amritpal, who had hatched the conspiracy, have already been released on bail by the trial Court vide orders dated 29.07.2025 and 06.08.2025 respectively. Petitioner is in custody since 04.04.2025. The trial has not progressed and the conclusion of trial will take sufficiently long time. No useful purpose will thus be served by detaining the petitioner in custody and he may be released on bail.

5. On the other land, learned State Counsel assisted by counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous crime. He is the main person who was supplied the weapon and had also participated in the incident of firing as he was driving the motor-cycle. The trial is at initial stage and the victim has yet to be examined. In case, petitioner is released on bail, he will try to win over the witnesses or to intimidate them and as such, petitioner does not deserve concession of bail.

6. As per allegations in the FIR, two persons had fired at the victim and during the firing, one unknown person whose name later on came to be known as Gurwinder Singh had also suffered gunshot injuries. Present petitioner has been nominated by co-accused namely Harvinder Singh and as per the disclosure statement of Harvinder and own disclosure statement of petitioner, he was driving the motor-cycle while co-accused Navjit had fired the shots. The victim has not been examined during the trial as yet and the trial is at the initial stage. In case, petitioner is released on bail, he may try to hamper the trial or



intimidate the witness and in view of gravity of offence, petitioner does not deserve concession of bail and resultantly, the present petition is dismissed.

(Yashvir Singh Rathor)
Judge

December 10, 2025
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No