



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27001-2025

Date of Decision : **30.10.2025**

KULWANT SINGH

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of a direction upon the respondents to treat the period of suspension as duty period from 17.06.2023 to 06.12.2023, on the basis of an earlier speaking order dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-4), passed by the Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Water Resources, where through, the penalty of dismissal from service was converted into penalty of compulsory retirement, and the petitioner was held entitled for the reitral benefits, as per rules.

2. The instant petition has been filed on the premise that no such decision has been taken with regard to the period of suspension, despite a representation given by the petitioner in this regard.

3. This Court vide order dated 11.09.2025, had directed the learned State counsel to have apt instructions, as to why, till date no decision has been taken with regard to the above period of suspension.

4. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by Mr. Gursharan Singh Virk, Executive Engineer, Bhakra Main Line Canal and Ground Water Division, Patiala, has supplied a certified copy of a detailed order 02.06.2025, wherethrough the representation of the petitioner was considered, and detailed speaking order has been filed. The vernacular version as well as true typed copy of the aforesaid order is taken on record as Mark 'A'. Learned State counsel undertakes to supply a copy of the vernacular version alongwith translated version to the aforesaid order, to learned counsel for the petitioner, forthwith.

5. In view of the above, supervening events, this Court is of the view that the instant petition, wherethrough the *mandamus* is sought upon the respondents, is not maintainable, in fact the petitioner is required to throw challenge to the order dated 02.06.2025, in case he fetches any grievance from the same.

6. Consequently, the instant petition is **closed**.

7. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner, to challenge the order dated 02.06.2025, in case, he, still, has any grievance.

October 30, 2025

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No