



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-27194-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.09.2025

SUKHRAM

... Petitioner

V/S

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN
NIGAM LIMITED AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition, petitioner is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay compensation on account of death of petitioner's son due to electrocution.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that on 21.07.2020, Om Parkash, petitioner's son was working in agricultural fields along with his cousin Chander Pal, who came in contact with an electricity pole. When Om Parkash tried to save him, he got electrocuted and died on the spot. Counsel submits that a postmortem of the deceased was conducted and report Annexure P-2, shows that the death was due to an electric shock. Counsel states that a GD, Annexure P-3, has been registered on the same day. Counsel asserts that the respondents have framed a policy for grant of compensation in case of fatal/non-fatal accidents of human beings due to electrocution, Annexure P-7. He asserts that the petitioner has moved



various applications, which have not been decided. He submits that a direction be issued to the respondents to examine representation dated 10.07.2025, Annexure P-6.

3. Issue notice of motion to the respondents.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of both the respondents.
5. Given the nature of order proposed to be passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call for a response from the respondents. From a perusal of the averments made in the writ petition, it transpires that the representations given by the petitioner are pending with the respondent authorities.
6. In view thereof, without examining the merits of the claim, competent authority is directed to decide the representation, Annexure P-6 in the light of the policy, Annexure P-7 as well as directions passed by this Court vide order dated 05.12.2024, Annexure P-8, by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of communication of this order.
7. Writ petition is disposed of.

12.09.2025
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No