

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**(I) CWP-35127-2019
Date of Decision : April 29, 2025**

GAGANDEEP SINGH AND ANR. -PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. -RESPONDENTS

(II) CWP-18647-2019 (O&M)

JAGIR SINGH -PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. -RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Tejveer Singh Saggu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the respondent No.4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Both these writ petitions embody an alike prayer for issuance of directions upon the respondent No.3 to close the inquiry in Complaint No.577-Peshi dated 26.04.2019, as made by the respondent No.4, and wherein, the petitioners have been summoned vide order dated 09.05.2019. Therefore, both these writ petitions are amenable for being decided through a common verdict.

2. In order to give quietus to the dispute, this Court, through drawing the order dated 21.01.2025 upon these writ petitions, directed the inquiry officer to conclude the inquiry within six weeks therefrom. Post

the drawing of the order (supra), a status report dated 04.03.2025 was filed before this Court by the respondent(s)-State, thus disclosing that, on account of filing of some additional representation by the respondent No.3 and his sons Upkar Singh and Inderdeep Singh, the inquiry is still in progress. Consequently, on request of the learned State counsel, the matter was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the learned State counsel has filed a short status report dated 28.04.2025, on affidavit of Mr. Parminder Singh Heer, PPS, Superintendent of Police (HQ), Jalandhar (Rural), which is taken on record. This short status report speaks that, after conducting the requisite inquiry, the apposite complaint has been ordered to be filed.

4. On account of the supervening events (supra), since the grievance of the petitioners stands redressed, therefore, their counsel submits that, he does not want to press the present writ petitions.

5. At this stage, the learned counsel for the respondent No.4, upon his fetching grievance about the manner in which the inquiry has been conducted, raised arguments to the effect that, the inquiry has not been conducted in a fair and impartial manner. He also submits that, copy of the final inquiry report has not yet been supplied to the respondent No.4.

6. The issue raised by the learned counsel for the respondent No.4 cannot be adjudicated in the present writ petition, as the same does not fall within the scope thereof. However, the respondent No.4 is at liberty to, in case he has any grievance with the final inquiry report, approach the Illaqa Magistrate concerned or any other forum for redressal thereof.

7. Insofar as non supply of the final inquiry report is concerned, the learned State counsel has, today in the Court itself, supplied copy thereof to the learned counsel for the respondent No.4.

8. Disposed of accordingly.

9. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of accordingly.

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on file of connected case.

April 29, 2025
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No