



236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10440-2024

Date of decision: 21.01.2025

SIMRANJEET KAUR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Aneja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 10 and their other family members.

2. On 28.10.2024, the following order was passed :

“Petitioners Simranjeet Kaur and Jaswinder Singh have filed petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India, directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10 and their other family members.

It is alleged that petitioner No. 1 – Simranjeet Kaur is age about 21 years and is unmarried. Whereas, petitioner No. 2 – Jaswinder Singh is age about 35 years and is already married with another girl namely Adity and is having one child. However, divorce petition is already pending before Family Court, Fazilka. Copy of Divorce Petition is annexed as Annexure P-1. They are in live-in relationship, due to which family members/relatives of petitioner No. 2 are apprehending threats.

CRWP-10440-2024

Notice of motion to official respondents only & resp. No. 4
& 5.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. For proper appreciation of the facts of case, let status report be filed by respondent-State as to whether there is any threat to life and liberty of petitioners.
Adjourned to 18.11.2024, for filing status report.”

3. In compliance of the order passed by this Court, status report dated 17.11.2024 by way of an affidavit of Jatinder Singh Gill, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Jalalabad on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3-State has been filed, which is taken on record.
4. Learned State counsel submits that threat perception of the petitioners has been dispassionately assessed and it is concluded that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners and both are happily cohabiting together at Jalandhar in a rented accommodation.
5. In view of the status report filed by State of Punjab on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, no further directions are required to be issued and the present petition stands disposed of.

January 21, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |