



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-52919-2025

Date of decision: 06.11.2025

Sharma Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present : Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.(ORAL)**

1. By virtue of the present petition under Section 482 BNSS, petitioner, an accused in case bearing FIR No.155 dated 02.10.2024 registered under Sections 115(2)/118(1)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS at Police Station Tibba, District Ludhiana, has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.

2. On 29.09.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Petitioner, an accused in case FIR No.155 dated 02.10.2024 registered against her, under Sections 115(2)/118(1)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Tibba, District Ludhiana, has filed the petition for grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 of BNSS.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Only vague allegations have been levelled against him. In any case, even if the allegations levelled against him in the complaint are taken to be true at their face value (though not admitted), only role attributed to the petitioner is that in pursuance of pre-mediated plan, her family members unleashed an attack on complainant. In fact, in the complaint itself it is mentioned that petitioner also suffered injuries while trying to save complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the I.O.

In compliance of the previous order, status report dated 28.09.2025 by way of affidavit of Mr. Sukhdev Singh Brar, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, East, Ludhiana has been filed. The same is taken on record. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI, Kulwinder Singh, No.1299, Ludhiana, has intimated the Court that co-accused Vishal, to whom the injuries have been attributed, is in judicial custody.



Heard.

In view of the submission advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, she shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

Adjourned to 06.11.2025.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajinder Singh, submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and is not needed for any further investigation. Learned State counsel further submits that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner.

4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 29.09.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will neither tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and nor will leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

5. The petition stands allowed.

**(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)**  
**JUDGE**

**06.11.2025**

Hemant

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |