



- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

**CRM-M-53232-2025
Date of decision: 25.09.2025**

DEEPAKJIT GILL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.80 dated 13.07.2025, under Sections 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Section 61(2) of BNS along with Section 111 of BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Gurdaspur.
2. As per prosecution case, the police party headed by ASI Palwinder Singh was going to Village Khojawal in connection with patrolling duty when a secret information was received to the effect that Sikandar Singh alias Gola, Lovepreet Singh alias Love, Onkar Singh and Gagandeep Singh alongwith two unknown persons are present near Cheema Hockey Academy and they are in possession of illegal weapons and they are associated with Jaggu Bhanwanpuria group. They are planning to execute some crime and in case, a raid is conducted, they could be caught red handed. Offences under Sections 25 of Arms Act, 1959 read with Section 61(2) of BNS, 2023 were found to be made out and ruqa was



- 2-

sent for registration of FIR. Thereafter, police party reached at the disclosed place from where Sikandar Singh alias Gola, Lovepreet Singh alias Love, Onkar Singh and Gagandeep Singh were apprehended and two pistols along with some live cartridges were allegedly recovered from them. Lovepreet Singh alias Love disclosed in his disclosure statement that Sarabjeet Kaur and her husband Harwinder Singh Kahlon are suppliers of the weapons. On interrogation of Gagandeep Singh, he suffered a disclosure statement and nominated Ravi and Allen David @ Alias Masih as accused and DDR No.8 dated 17.07.2025 was recorded in this regard. Thereafter, present petitioner Deepakjit Gill along with Aniket were arrested near pig farm area and one mobile phone was recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has merely been arrested on the basis of some secret information that he belongs to a gang of criminals but there is no such history of his involvement in any other case. Only one mobile phone has been recovered from his possession. Even the role of the petitioner has not been disclosed by the police. Learned counsel next contended that co-accused namely Allen David @ Alias Masih, whom the petitioner was to meet has already been released on anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 15.09.2025, passed in CRM-M-49177-2025. Petitioner is in custody since 24.07.2025. Learned counsel further contended that the investigation and trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not



required and he deserves to be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of the offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

7. No weapon has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Co-accused namely Allen David @ Alis Masih, has already been released on anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 15.09.2025, passed in CRM-M-49177-2025. Petitioner is in custody since 24.07.2025. Investigation and trial will certainly take a long time to conclude and no useful purpose shall be served by keeping him in custody any more.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

25.09.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No