


**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHG:169173

**COC-4694-2025****Date of decision: 04.12.2025****SATYAM KUMAR ALIAS SHIVAM****..Petitioner****Versus****RAJ KUMAR SINGH AND ANR****..Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Ujwal Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Bali, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh
for respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 05.08.2025 passed in CRWP-5064-2025.
2. The relevant paras of order dated 05.08.2025 are reproduced as under:-

“8. In the light of the above, the impugned order is set aside and since there is no other reason brought on record by the respondents as to why the petitioner should be denied parole, in the light of the recommendations made by the CPO and DM, Bhagalpur, we direct the release of the petitioner on parole for a period of 28 days from the date of his release. However, the same would be subject to his furnishing adequate surety to the satisfaction of the competent authority.

9. The petition is allowed in the above terms with costs which are quantified at Rs.10,000/- to be borne personally by the officer who passed the impugned order dated 25.04.2025.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondents contends that in compliance of order dated 05.08.2025, affidavit of Mr. Pushpendra Kumar, IPS, Inspector General of Prisons, Chandigarh, dated 29.11.2025 along with Annexure R-1 to R-4 has been filed.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that order dated 05.08.2025 has now been complied with.
5. In view of the same, the contempt is purged and rule is discharged.

December 04th, 2025
Ayub/Saahil

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*