



CWP-27033-2025

-2-

Corporation is unjustified without there being any misrepresentation or fraud on the part of the petitioner in grant of alleged excess payment.

4. Mr. S.S. Parmar, Advocate, on receipt of advance notice, puts in appearance on behalf respondents No.2 & 3 and not in a position to controvert the law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Punjab and others etc. (supra)* with regard to the recovery of excess payment. He further submits that the petitioner's claim would be considered and speaking order would be passed in a time bound manner.

5. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to treat the writ petition of the petitioner as a comprehensive representation and shall decide the same within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondents.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

11.09.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No