

2025:PHHC:125603



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**CWP 26907 of 2025
Date of Decision: 12.09.2025**

Piara Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present :- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant the petitioner same benefit of revised pay scale as allowed to the petitioners in the judgment dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-8) passed in CWP No. 9410 of 2016 titled as “***Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***”. A further prayer has been made to grant the petitioner benefit of revised pay scale i.e., Rs. 6400-10640 from the date of his appointment which is the revised pay scale of 1800-3200 with corresponding pay scale thereof from time to time alongwith all consequential benefits including arrears in the same terms as allowed in CWP No. 9410 of 2016 decided on 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-8).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation (Annexure P-12) to respondent No. 3 but no decision has been taken on the said representation. He further submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the law laid down by this Court in CWP No. 9410 of 2016 titled as ***“Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others”*** (Annexure P-8) and all other connected matters and even the State has issued instructions (Annexure P-10) and at this stage, he will be satisfied, in case the appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation to the petitioner in the light of the judgment (Annexure P-8) and the instructions (Annexure P-10).

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. The writ petition is disposed with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation (Annexure P-12) in the light of the judgment (Annexure P-8) and the instructions (Annexure P-10) and to pass a speaking and well reasoned order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Needless to observe that in case the petitioner is found entitled to any consequential relief, the same shall also be released to him forthwith with a reasonable rate of interest.

9. It is appropriate to observe that while passing the order, the respondent No.2 shall not look into the order (Annexure P-9), which is apparently illegal.

10. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

12.09.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE