



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

323

CRM-M-53767-2024

Date of decision: January 20th, 2025

Tarun Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Pathania, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.27 dated 26.04.2018 under Sections 508, 467, 468, 471 of the IPC registered at Police Station Shahpurkandi, District Pathankot, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 25.09.2024.

2. Vide order dated 21.11.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 20.12.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise

has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the attested copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

January 20th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No