

2025:PHHC:125841



149

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26948-2025
Date of Decision: 10.09.2025**

Deepak Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate and
Ms. Hemlata, Advocate
for respondents No.7 and 8.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the official respondents to declare respondent No.7 – Rajender disqualified from holding the office of Municipal Councillor, and respondent No.8 – Rimpi Kumari disqualified from holding the office of Chairperson, for having incurred disqualification under Section 13-A of the Haryana Municipal Act, 1973 (or applicable provision), on account of illegal encroachment; and for further directions restraining them from functioning in the said capacities.

1.1 A further prayer has been made for directing the official

respondents to decide representation dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-10).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case time bound directions are issued to respondent No.4 – Deputy Commissioner, Mahendergarh at Narnaul, Haryana, to take action on representation dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-10).

3. At this stage, Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, and Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, appear on behalf of the respondent-State and respondents No.7 and 8, respectively, in pursuance of the advance copies of paper book having already been supplied to them.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the aforesaid representation dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-10) shall be considered and decided by the Deputy Commissioner, Mahendergarh at Narnaul, Haryana/competent authority, after affording due opportunity of hearing to all concerned parties, within a period of two months from today, in accordance with law.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned State counsel, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

6. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

10.09.2025

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No