



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CRM-M-50600-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 10.11.2025

KULDEEP SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.**

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0085 dated 27.07.2025, registered under Sections 326(g), 324(4), 191(3), 190, 61(2) of BNSS, 2023, at Police Station Joga, District Mansa (Annexure P-1).

2. On 10.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the case and has no motive with the alleged incident. No overt act has been attributed to him as against the other co-accused, against whom there were serious allegations, stand arrested except one Manjinder Singh. No recovery is to be effected from him and he is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 18.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be



released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 10.11.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance to the order dated 10.09.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jarnail Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 10.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023).
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

10.11.2025  
Vishal Vardhan

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |