

2025:PHHC:124025



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

126

**CRM-M-50662-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2025**

Lekhraj @ Lekhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of order dated 07.08.2025, passed by the Court of learned Judge, Special Court, Jalandhar in case arising out of FIR No. 35 dated 19.02.2025, registered under Sections 22 and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar (Rural), whereby the benefit of interim bail granted to him was cancelled, his bonds were forfeited and warrants of arrest were issued against him, pursuant to receipt of FSL report.

2. The petitioner has been booked for commission of aforementioned offences and had been extended benefit of interim bail by the learned trial Court, vide order dated 08.04.2025 till receipt of FSL report. As revealed from the record, after receipt of the FSL report, the impugned order had been passed by the learned trial Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the

2025:PHHC:124025



impugned order is not sustainable as at the time of passing the same, the learned trial Court ignored the fact that the petitioner had been regularly appearing before it and that the next date of hearing fixed for appearance of the petitioner was 06.12.2025. As such, it was incumbent upon the learned trial Court to at least give him notice before cancelling the interim bail. It is, therefore, submitted that the impugned order be set aside.

4. On a perusal of the record and after giving due consideration to the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the considered opinion that since the benefit of interim bail was extended to the petitioner till receipt of the FSL report, therefore, learned trial Court could very well cancel the bail of the petitioner after receipt of the same. As such, no illegality or infirmity can be stated to have been committed by the learned trial Court by passing the impugned order. Accordingly, finding no merit in the petition, the same is dismissed.

5. However, till the next date of hearing fixed before the learned trial Court i.e. 19.09.2025, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed and on or before the said date, he can move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for grant of bail after surrendering there.

10.09.2025

*Waseem Anvari***(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No**Whether reportable**Yes/No*