

2025:PHHC:137598



217 (1st case)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50804-2025

Date of decision: September 30, 2025

Ajay Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Pooja, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present second petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.81 dated
18.05.2025, under Sections 333, 118(1), 115(2), 126(2), 191(3), 190 of the
BNS, 2023 (Section 118(2) of the BNS added later on), registered at Police
Station Shri Hargobindpur, Batala, District Batala.

2. On 10.09.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.81 dated 18.05.2025
registered for offences punishable under Sections 333, 118(1), 115(2),
126(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS 2023 (Section 118(2) added lateron) at
Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District
Gurdaspur; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.*

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner was implicated into the FIR in question on account of misunderstanding which now stands resolved, a compromise petition (CRM-M-48615-2025) has already been filed before this Court which is pending adjudication for 30.09.2025 & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Gurpartap S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Ms. Pooja, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent No.2/complainant and filed her Vakalatnama. She has ratified the factum of matter having been compromised/settled between the parties.

Adjourned to 30.09.2025.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-48615-2025. The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 16.09.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He/She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Since vide order of even date passed in **CRM-M-48615-2025**, FIR in question has been quashed on the basis of compromise having been effected between the parties, the interim order dated 10.09.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

4. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

September 30, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No