



CRM-M-50501-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-50501-2025

Date of Decision : 15.09.2025

MEHBOOB AALAM

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr.Rajesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.423 dated 21.05.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station City Panipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the investigation in this case has already been completed and the challan has already been presented. The petitioner is remanded to judicial custody and is not required for any custodial interrogation. It is further submitted that the trial will take sufficient time to conclude. The petitioner is behind bars for the last three months. He has clean and clear antecedents. He is not involved in any other criminal activity and is ready to abide by all terms and conditions imposed by this Court.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State and filed the custody certificate of the petitioner. Learned counsel vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of



regular bail to the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner caused two simple and two grievous injuries to the complainant and on arrest weapon of offence has been recovered from his possession.

5. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the investigation has already been completed in this case; accused has already been remanded to judicial custody and not required for any custodial interrogation; the injuries which are declared grievous in nature are on the hand of complainant; charges have already been framed but no witness has been examined; trial will take sufficient time to conclude; there is no material on the file which shows that the petitioner is involved in any other criminal activity; no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment and it is trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is a rule and jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

15.09.2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No