



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

[120]

CWP-28841-2024

Date of Decision: 18.02.2025

M/S. GO LIVE

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Ishnoor Singh, Advocate for the petitioner/Company.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
Mr.P.P. Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur Manchanda, Advocate
Ms. Gurcharan Kaur, Advocate,
Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Advocate and
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate
for respondent-M.C. Gurugram.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana very fairly submits that pursuant to the decision recorded by this Court on 03.09.2024 in CWP bearing No.21972 of 2024(Annexure P-13) titled as **“M/S Go Live Vs. State of Haryana and others”**, an order dated 06.09.2024 (Annexure P-18) has been passed.

2. However, he fairly submits that though certain observations adversarial to the private respondents in the instant petition, have been recorded therein, but he further submits that no further action takings in



terms of the such passed observations have been mentioned in Annexure P-14.

- 3. Consequently, as jointly prayed for, by the learned counsel for the parties, the impugned order is quashed and set aside, but with further directions to the competent authority to re-pass a speaking order. However, in doing so, the relevant material shall be taken into consideration.
- 4. The fresh decision shall be expeditiously passed but preferably within a period of three weeks from today, but after hearing all affected persons concerned.
- 5. Disposed of alongwith all pending applications, if any.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

18.02.2025
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No