

**CRM-M-50914-2025 (O&M) 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

289

CRM-M-50914-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:17.11.2025

Amarjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 11.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.39 dated 28.04.2025 under Sections 108/3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has no concern with the money dispute between Balvir Singh and Hardeep Singh (deceased). He had signed the compromise only as a witness on behalf of the Balvir Singh. The FIR in the present case has been registered after long unexplained delay of six months, after commission of the suicide. No specific role has been assigned to the petitioner and it has not been explained that in which manner the petitioner had harassed the deceased which led to commission of suicide. He



CRM-M-50914-2025 (O&M) 2

urged that no such instigation or abetment was there at behest of the petitioner. There is no such allegation that the petitioner had knowledge or intention that deceased would commit suicide. In support of his contentions, he has placed reliance upon the judgment in *Mohit Singhal and another Vs. The State of Uttarkhand and others, 2021(1) R.C.R (Criminal), 72* and *Ajay Patodia Vs. State of M.P., 2003(4) R.C.R (Criminal) 728*. He has further submitted that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any purpose and he is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

List on 17.11.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the



CRM-M-50914-2025 (O&M) 3

investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 11.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 17, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No