



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-52982-2024

Date of decision: 31.01.2025

Sarabjit Singh @ Pucha and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Gursimran S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in cross case registered vide General Diary No.24 dated 07.06.2024 under Sections 325, 323, 452, 148, 149 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Islamabad, Amritsar, in case FIR No.98 dated 18.05.2024 under Sections 326, 324, 323, 341, 148, 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Islamabad, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. On 23.10.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and them to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that present case is a case of version and cross version and initially FIR was registered on 18.05.2024 against both



CRM-M-52982-2024

sons of complainant of cross case, namely, Tarsem Singh and Vishal Singh @ Kala and after a delay of 20 days, the present cross version has been registered on 07.06.2024 where no specific role or injury has been attributed to the petitioners. He submits that the injury No.1, which is grievous in nature has been attributed to complainant of the FIR i.e. Veer Singh and the injury No.2 which is simple in nature has been attributed to Gurmukh Singh @ Gora. He further contends that petitioners are ready to join the investigation.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order dated 23.10.2024, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 23.10.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

31.01.2025

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No