

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127+128+129+132+133

Date of Decision: 10.09.2025

1.

CWP-26831-2025

PRIYANKA BAI

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)
2.

CWP-26835-2025

PRIYA KUMARI

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)
3.

CWP-26839-2025

ANOOP

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)
4.

CWP-26859-2025

PRINCE

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)
5.

CWP-26861-2025

POOJA

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

These petitions have been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing respondent no.2 to allow the petitioner(s) to inspect the answer sheet of the Subject Knowledge Test conducted on 06.07.2025 for the post of Assistant Professor (College cadre) Zoology.


2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioner(s) will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to decide the pending representations, dated 08.08.2025 and 20.08.2025, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)/State. Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.2/HPSC and submits that the pending representations, dated 08.08.2025 and 20.08.2025 will be decided by respondent no.2/Secretary of the Commission, by passing a speaking/reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) has no objection to the petitions being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.



7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.
8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

10.09.2025
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>