



CRWP-10279-2024

-1-

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10279-2024

Date of Decision: 08.07.2025

BANDANA ANDOTRA

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satjot Singh Chahal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent no. 5

Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate
for respondent no. 6.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to protect the life and liberty of petitioner's husband Roop Singh and other family members. Further, a prayer has been made not to arrest him in case FIR no. 81 dated 28.09.2024 under Section 303 (2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 and Section 21(1) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, registered at

**CRWP-10279-2024****-2-**

Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot.

2. Learned State counsel has referred to the affidavit dated 22.02.2025 filed on behalf of the respondent -State, to contend that Roop Singh has been provided two security guards round the clock to protect his life and liberty keeping in view the threats (to the petitioner as well as her husband). He also contends that the petitioner's husband has not been nominated as an accused in the aforementioned FIR. Therefore, there is no question of his being arrested in that case.

3. In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. It goes without saying that the petitioner will be at liberty to avail the remedies in accordance with law in case any of her rights are affected by conduct of the respondents in future.

08.07.2025

Sima

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>