



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**CWP-26700-2025
Date of Decision: 03.11.2025**

**SHAYARA KHATOON AND OTHERS ...PETITIONERS
Vs.**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Ekta Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akash Vashisth, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3-PSPCL.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present writ petition, petitioners have approached this Court *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL) to grant electricity connection to the petitioners and also for directing Richmond City-respondent No.4 to issue No Objection Certificate (NOC) in respect of the residential houses of the petitioners. At the outset, counsel for the petitioners states that she is not pressing the second prayer.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have purchased plots in Richmond City and had made full and final payment. She asserts that properties have been registered in the name of petitioners. She has made a reference to agreements, Annexures P-1 to P-4 entered into between petitioners and respondent No.4. Counsel submits that a temporary electricity connection

was granted for the building project, but the connection was later disconnected.



Counsel states that petitioners could not apply for regular electricity connection as PSPCL officials were insisting upon NOC from the builder. She asserts that petitioners have served legal notices, Annexures P-5 to P-8 for grant of electricity connection. Reference has also been made to orders dated 18.03.2025 and 24.07.2025 passed by this Court in CWP-6457-2025 titled as ***Karamjit Kaur and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Others***. He submits that in similar situations, temporary electricity connections have been granted by the PSPCL. She states that advance copy of the petition has been served upon the respondents.

4. Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3-PSPCL state that petitioners have neither submitted an application for grant of regular electricity connection nor they have applied for a temporary connection.

5. Be that as it may, in case the petitioners submit an application for temporary electricity connection within a month from today, the same shall be considered and decided by respondent Nos.2 and 3 within a four weeks thereafter. In case, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 find that the application cannot be accepted they shall pass a detailed order giving reasons for rejection.

6. Petition is disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

03.11.2025

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No