



CWP-28877-2024 (O&M) -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CWP-28877-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision :04.09.2025

Dr. Sarvpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Inderjit Singh Luthra. Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Promila Nain, Senior Panel Counsel
with Mr. Abhishek Rawal, Advocate for the respondent-UOI.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to declare the second proviso to Sections 41,51 & 67 of the Consumer Protection Act, 2019 as unconstitutional as well as for setting aside the orders dated 15.07.2022 (Annexure P/3), 06.09.2022 (Annexure P/6), 22.02.2023 (Annexure P/10 and 25.07.2024 (Annexure P/12) impugned in the present writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already availed the remedy of appeal against the same impugned orders and an application has also been filed for waiving of the pre-deposit, which application is to be decided by the authorities concerned in accordance with law hence, the present petition be disposed of having been not pressed any



CWP-28877-2024 (O&M) -2-

further with liberty to press the appeal along with application.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. Keeping in view the fact that the appeal alongwith the application has already been filed by the petitioner as stated hereinbefore, the Appellate Authority is requested to decide the said application in accordance with law.

5. It is made clear that this Court is not making any observation on the merits of the case or the application filed by the petitioner.

6. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

September 04, 2025

aarti

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No