



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP-9848-2025

Date of Decision : 10.09.2025

SIMRAN KAUR AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Prabhpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, from respondent No.4, petitioners, who claim to be a married couple, praying therein to issue appropriate directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty.


2. The facts, as can be inferred from the petition, are as follows:

Both the petitioners, who are major, got married to each other in accordance with Sikh rites and ceremonies on 03.09.2025. Their relationship was not approved of by respondent No.4, who was in live-in relationship with petitioner No.1. It is in this backdrop that the present petition has been filed by the petitioners.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 –



Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga, to decide the representation dated 03.09.2025 (Annexure P-5) within a period of two weeks. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

6. It is, however, clarified that this order shall not be taken to be any expression as regards the alleged marriage of the petitioners and shall not confer any immunity upon the petitioners, in case it is found that they have committed any wrong.

7. Disposed of accordingly.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

10.09.2025
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No