



CRM-M-50437-2025(O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M-50437-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 26.11.2025

Lovejeet Singh @ Lovepreet Singh @ Baljit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.24 dated 23.03.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351, 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS (Sections 117(2) and 118(2) of BNS added later on) at PS Ghagga, District Patiala.
2. On 09.09.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

<i>Name of Petitioner(s)</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>District</i>
<i>Lovejeet Singh @ Lovepreet Singh @ Baljit Singh, aged about 30 years</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>23.03.2025</i>	<i>115(2), 118(1), 351, 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS (Sections 117(2) and 118(2) of BNS added later on)</i>	<i>Ghagga</i>	<i>Patiala</i>



(ii) On the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier anticipatory bail petition, i.e., CRM-M-33213-2025 (Annexure P-9), filed by the petitioner, was withdrawn, vide order dated 24.06.2025, with liberty to file a fresh petition with correct and complete particulars. Hence, present petition has been filed by the petitioner for the second time, in exercise of the said liberty.

(iii) Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that other co-accused, namely Bachittar Singh @ Bittu, Paramjit Singh @ Pamma @ Harnek Singh, Gurbax Singh @ Bakhshish Singh, Pargat Singh @ Pappi, and Yodhwinder Singh @ Yodha, have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide orders dated 26.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-29373-2025 (Annexure P-4), 28.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-30398-2025 (Annexure P-5), 02.07.2025 passed in CRM-M-33288-2025 (Annexure P-6), 21.07.2025 passed in CRM-M-32273-2025 (Annexure P-7), and 02.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-33471-2025 (Annexure P-8), respectively.

(iv) It is further submitted that even the injury attributed to the present petitioner is an axe blow on the forehead of Sahib Singh (father of the complainant), which has been opined to be simple in nature. Moreover, co-accused Yodhwinder Singh @ Yodha (Annexure P-8), who is alleged to have caused a grievous injury, though on a non-vital part of the body, has also been granted the concession of anticipatory bail. In the light of the above, counsel prays that similar concession of anticipatory bail be extended to the present petitioner, as well.

(v) Notice of motion.

(vi) On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

(vii) Adjourned to 26.11.2025.

(viii) In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over her passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if she possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that she does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court ”

Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner



CRM-M-50437-2025(O&M)

3

contends that in compliance to the order dated 09.09.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation on 07.10.2025.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 07.10.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 09.09.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he/she would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any part.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

26.11.2025
reena

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/~~NO~~
~~YES~~/NO