



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Writ Petition No. 9796 of 2025

Date of Decision: 10.09.2025

Janista and Another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Partap Singh.

Present: Mr. Rahul Gugnani and Mr. Abhinav Kaushik, Advocates
for the petitioner(s).

Surya Partap Singh, J.

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No. 1 and 2 to protect their life and liberty from the respondents No.3 to 6.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a representation dated 02.09.2025 (Annexure P5) before the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondent No.2 to decide the above mentioned representation within stipulated time frame.
3. Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and waives service.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a direction for disposing of the representation (Annexure P5) be given to the respondent

No.2 and the instant writ petition be disposed of.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to pass a speaking order and decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P5), on its own merits, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order.

7. It is further directed that the petitioners be provided requisite safety and security for the protection of their life and liberty, if required. It is, however, clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners or validity of relationship of the petitioners. The police authorities of District Palwal shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment of threat perception to the petitioners and take a decision at their own level with regard to security of the petitioners.

(Surya Partap Singh)
Judge

September 10, 2025

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No