



CRM-M-50177-2025

-1-

**289 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50177-2025

Date of decision: 04.11.2025

ANIL SHARMA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Ajay Nain, Advocate and
Mr. Ankit Pathar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Ashika Kalra, Advocate for
Mr. Kartik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.260 dated 04.08.2025 registered under Sections 126 & 115 of BNS and Section 3 of SC/ST Act (the offences under Sections 126 and 115 of BNS and Section 3 of SC/ST Act were deleted and offence under Sections 118(2) of BNS and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act have been added later on), at Police Station Urban Estate, Hisar, District Hisar, Haryana and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/MOU/Agreement/Addendum dated 03.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submitted that she has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.



CRM-M-50177-2025

-2-

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No. FIR No.260 dated 04.08.2025 registered under Sections 126 & 115 of BNS and Section 3 of SC/ST Act (the offences under Sections 126 and 115 of BNS and Section 3 of SC/ST Act were deleted and offence under Sections 118(2) of BNS and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act have been

**CRM-M-50177-2025****-3-**

all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the Haryana State Legal Services Authority.

10. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within one month i.e. upto 04.12.2025, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

04.11.2025
renubala

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No