



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52650-2024
Date of Decision: 11.12.2025

ARUN DYMA @ ARUN DAYMA Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Kanishk Swaroop, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sagar Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 279 dated 24.08.2024 under Sections 308(2)/308(5)/351(2) of the BNS registered at Police Station Sector- 56 District Police Gurugram.
2. Vide order dated 22.10.2024, arrest of the petitioner was stayed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court.
2. On 17.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 279 dated 24.08.2024 under Sections 308(2)/308(5)/351(2) of the BNS registered at Police



Station Sector- 56 District Police Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 22.10.2024, the arrest of the petitioner was stayed. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Keeping in view the above, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;


iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.

Adjourned to 29.10.2025.”

3. Today, on instructions from Investigation Officer, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 17.09.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 17.09.2025,



whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

- 5. Disposed of.
- 6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

11.12.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No