



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(291)

CRM-M-50609-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 03.12.2025

Snehdeep @ Deepa and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Vishal R. Lamba, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr.Ashwani Bhatia, Asstt. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Karan Kalia, Advocate, for respondents no.2 and 3.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.223 dated 11.5.2022, under Sections 307, 323, 506 read with Section 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added lateron) and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Dabwali District Sirsa and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.8.2025 (Annexure P-6) and supporting affidavits (Annexure P-7) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Pawan Kumar. All the accused are petitioners and Vinay Kumar, respondent no.2 is the sole victim; petitioners and private respondent are members of same family and with the intervention of the respectable of the family, the matter has been compromised for peace and harmony in the family.

3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 and 3 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which



4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sirsa along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine and not the result of any fraud or misrepresentation, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. It has been mentioned therein that the petitioners were not declared as proclaimed offender in this case.

6. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondents No.2 and 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion. The parties are related to each other as family members. They have entered into compromise for peace and harmony in the family and to preserve the familial relations with each other.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court



RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.223 dated 11.5.2022, under Sections 307, 323, 506 read with Section 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added lateron and Section 25/27 of Arms act, registered at Police Station Sadar Dabwali District Sirsa and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 13.8.2025 (Annexure P-6) and supporting affidavits (Annexure P-7) are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.20,000/- as cost, to be deposited with Haryana State Legal Services Authority, Panchkula, in account No.11901238123 IFSC Code:SBIN00004172, State Bank of India, Sector-14, Panchkula.

11. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 03.01.2026 present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

03.12.2025
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No