

**229-1**

**CRM-A-2721-MA-2018**

**YAMIN VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS**

Present: Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate  
for the appellant.

Mr. Atul Gaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Jattan, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

Ms. Vaishali Kamboj, Advocate for  
Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.3 to 5.

\*\*\*

CRM-A-2721-MA-2018

Counsel for the complainant submits that he has a very good case on merit and there is sufficient evidence on record which is sufficient for conviction of the private respondents No.3 to 5 and conviction of respondent No.2 under Section 302 IPC. There is no appreciation of evidence in judgment dated 25.01.2016/04.02.2016.

Counsel appearing for the private respondents oppose the prayer.

Leave to appeal granted.

Registry to assign number to appeal.

**Main appeal**

Heard.

Admitted.

The accused i.e. private respondents No. 3 to 5 to execute a bond for attendance within two months, undertaking to appear in this Court, in case appeal is allowed. There is no need to furnish bonds by respondent No.2 as his appeal against conviction is already pending before this Court.

Given above, the accused i.e. private respondents No. 2 to 5 shall furnish a personal bond of Rs. Twenty Five Thousand only (INR 25,000/-), and furnishing one surety for Rs. Twenty Five thousand only (INR 25,000/-), to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Before accepting the sureties, the concerned Court must satisfy that if the accused fails to appear in Court, then such surety is capable of producing them before the Court.

The furnishing of the personal bonds shall be deemed acceptance of the all stipulations, terms, and conditions of this order.

Registry to communicate this order to the trial Court without any delay. In case of failure of private respondents No. 3 to 5 to furnish bond, the concerned Court shall proceed further in accordance with law.

*There would be no need for a certified copy of this order for furnishing bonds, and any Advocate for the accused can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.*

To be heard along with CRA-S-772-SB-2016.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 01, 2025**  
Sangeeta