

2025:PHHC:131073



216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50111-2025

Date of decision: September 22, 2025

Varinder @ Rohit @ Vrinder

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**Present:** Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.237 dated 07.07.2025, under Sections 109(1), 115, 190, 191(3), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (for short 'Arms Act'), registered at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal.

2. On 11.09.2025, the following order was passed:

“Inter alia, contends that, assuming arguendo, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the prime role attributed to the petitioner is hitting two persons with Fortuner car but no MLR of the victim/injured has been conducted; the petitioner is stated to be falsely implicated into the FIR in question; no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion for 22.9.2025.

Mr. Vishal Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate appearing for complainant, accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 16.9.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 11.09.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation, and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that there are direct allegations against the petitioner, and in case, the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that he may abscond from the process of justice and interfere in the prosecution evidence.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, and in view of the stance of the State, the interim order dated 11.09.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

September 22, 2025

mahavir	
Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No