



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-52391-2024

Date of decision: 23.01.2025

Yusuf Ali @ Yousf Ali

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Arzoo Modi, Advocate and
Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.29 dated 03.09.2024 under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 61(2), 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Hansi, District Hisar.

2. On 21.10.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that as per allegations levelled in the FIR in question, pursuant to a video advertisement posted by the complainant to sell his buffalo the petitioner had approached the complainant and told him that the petitioner is interested in buying 2-3 other animals as well. The petitioner also asked the complainant to buy a house for him for which he will get commission; the complainant



CRM-M-52391-2024

paid money to one Sanampreet and later realized that all of them were in conspiracy. The main allegation against the petitioner is that he had cheated the complainant to the tune of Rs.5.4 lakhs for buying of a house for the petitioner, however, no amount was paid to the petitioner and the house is with the complainant. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 21.10.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Suresh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 21.10.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

23.01.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No