

CRM-M-50685-2025 and another connected matter

-1-

216 (2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 11.11.2025

(1)

CRM-M-50685-2025

Gurcharanjeet Kaur @ Charanjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(2)

CRM-M-51965-2025

Karamjit Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Praagbir Singh Dhindsa, Advocate and
Mr. Kartik Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.


Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ishan Thakur, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Both aforementioned petitions have arisen out of same FIR,
therefore, same are taken up together for disposal.

2. Petitioner Gurcharanjeet Kaur alias Charanjeet Kaur in CRM-M-
50685-2025 and petitioners Karamjit Kaur, Sukhpreet Kaur, Mandeep




CRM-M-50685-2025 and another connected matter

-2-

Singh and Harmandeep Singh in CRM-M-51965-2025 have filed separate petitions under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 184 dated 06.07.2025 under Section 126(2), 115(2), 304, 73, 329(3), 62, 324(4), 191(3), 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and further enhanced offence under Section 117(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Sadar, District Mansa.

3. As per the facts of case, complainant 'RS' gave his statement that he is an agriculturist by profession. His younger sister 'JK' was married to Bhola Singh in the year 2018. On his death, marriage of 'JK' was performed with younger brother of Bhola Singh namely Raja Singh. They started residing as husband and wife. Raja Singh also expired on 17.10.2019. His sister 'JK' and niece 'MK' were residing in village Kot Dharmu and were cultivating their own land. He used to help them in sowing their crops. On 05.07.2025, he alongwith his Tractor Swaraj 855 and niece 'MK' had gone to fields to plant paddy. At about 11:45 pm, Hardial Singh @ Chota, Surjit Singh @ Happy, Darshan Singh alias Bahiya, Harmandeep Singh, Mandeep Singh, Sukhpreet Kaur, Charanjit Kaur and Karamjit Kaur came on the spot and surrounded them from all sides. Hardial Singh raised *lalkara* and on this Harmandeep Singh and Mandeep Singh attacked them with spades held in their hands. Since he was sitting on the Tractor, said blows hit on the seat of Tractor. He tried to alight from Tractor to save himself and on this Hardial Singh gave a blow which hit him on his nose. While he was running, Karamjit Kaur gave a blow with *daang*, which hit on the back of his head and he fell down.




CRM-M-50685-2025 and another connected matter

-3-

Charanjit Kaur gave stick blow which hit him on his left thigh. Surjit Singh alias Happy and Darshan Singh alias Bahiya gave kick and fist blows. His niece came forward to save him and on this Harmandeep Singh, Mandeep Singh and Sukhpreet Kaur gave her beatings and her clothes were torn with intention to outrage her modesty. His niece started making a video. On this Harmandeep Singh snatched her phone. They raised alarm for help. Said assailants left the spot with their respective weapons. While leaving, they damaged pipe of their motor. Both of them were taken to Civil Hospital, Mansa by Ajaib Singh. Reason behind this occurrence was that Karamjit Kaur had sold 1 kanal 16 marlas of land to Sukhpreet Kaur. His sister Jaswinder Kaur opposed it, as a result, mutation was stopped by SDM, Mansa. Aforesaid persons wanted to take forcible possession of land belonging to his sister. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

4. Learned counsel representing petitioner Gurcharanjeet Kaur alias Charanjeet Kaur in CRM-M-50685-2025 argued that she is falsely named in FIR. She is 57 years of age. Copies of MLR of 'RS' and 'MK' are Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively. Injury attributed to petitioner is simple in nature. Due to family dispute, she is falsely implicated in this case. FIR has been lodged with a delay after due consultation and deliberation. No recovery is to be effected from her. Her anticipatory bail application was wrongly declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mansa vide order dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure P-3). Therefore, her anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.




CRM-M-50685-2025 and another connected matter

-4-

5. Regarding anticipatory bail petition filed by Karamjit Kaur and others in CRM-M-51965-2025, it is pointed out that they are falsely implicated in this case. Complainant has roped in the entire family. Allegations levelled against them are baseless. All petitioners are law abiding citizens. They are ready to join investigation. Anticipatory bail application filed by them was wrongly declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mansa vide order dated 14.08.2025 (Annexure P-3).

6. Both anticipatory bail petitions are opposed by learned counsel representing State. Status report is filed. It is pointed out that petitioners referred above are specifically named by complainant and specific role is attributed to them. Weapons of offence are yet to be recovered. 'RS' complainant suffered grievous injuries on his nose, whereas, other injuries were declared simple in nature. Victim 'MK' also suffered three injuries which were declared simple in nature. Copies of their MLRs are Annexure R-1 and opinion of doctor is Annexure R-2. In view of specific attribution, petitioners referred above are not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

7. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. FIR is lodged on the statement of 'RS'-complainant. Occurrence took place on 05.07.2025, when complainant 'RS' and victim 'MK' were present in fields. As per the facts narrated in FIR, petitioner Gurcharanjeet Kaur alias Charanjeet Kaur, Karamjit Kaur, Sukhpreet Kaur, Mandeep Singh, Harmandeep Singh alongwith other co-accused reached on the spot and attacked complainant 'RS' and his niece 'MK'. Petitioners referred above are specifically named. Gurcharanjeet Kaur alias Charanjeet



CRM-M-50685-2025 and another connected matter

-5-

Kaur was armed with stick and specific role is attributed to her. Similarly, petitioner Karamjit Kaur was armed with *daang* and Mandeep Singh and Harmandeep Singh were armed with spades. They are also attributed specific role. Sukhpreet Kaur, the other accused is beneficiary who had purchased land from Karamjit Singh. Copies of MLR of complainant and victim are also placed on record. Complainant 'RS' suffered injury on the nose which was declared as grievous. Entire dispute has arisen on account of property dispute. Instead of taking legal recourse, petitioners used force and in that course, all of them attacked complainant 'RS' and his niece 'MK', while they were working in fields.

8. Considering the manner in which offence has been committed and the fact that weapons of offence are yet to be recovered, I am not inclined to grant relief of anticipatory bail to petitioner Gurcharanjeet Kaur alias Charanjeet Kaur in CRM-M-50685-2025 and petitioners Karamjit Kaur, Sukhpreet Kaur, Mandeep Singh and Harmandeep Singh in CRM-M-51965-2025 and their respective anticipatory bail petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, in both cases stand disposed of accordingly as well.

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case mentioned above.

11.11.2025
lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No