



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

CRM-M-49942-2025

Date of decision : 08.09.2025

URMILA DEVI

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. This petition seeking for a direction to the police to preserve the CCTV footage, has been moved on the ground that he has been subjected to fraud in an ATM.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that despite filing of complaint, the police is not taking any action and even the FIR has not been registered.

3. According to learned State counsel, the CCTV footage will automatically be deleted within a period of 90 days from the date of incident and that out of abovesaid period of 90 days only 10 days are left.

4. If despite complaint, action has not been taken by the police the remedy available with the petitioner is to file a complaint in the Court

of learned Area Magistrate, whereby he can seek the registration of FIR or securing of the accused. In the same complaint direction for preserving CCTV footage may be sought.

- 5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw this petition with liberty above.
- 6. Permitted to do do.
- 7. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

08.09.2025
vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No