



CRWP-9776-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

CRWP-9776-2025

Date of Decision: 09.09.2025

GULAB AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

*Versus.***UT OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: - Mr.Jatin Bansal and Ms.Probhjot Kaur, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

Apprehending threat to their life and liberty from the hands of none else, but their own son, daughter-in-law and cousin brother of daughter-in-law, who have been impleaded as respondents No.6 to 8, respectively, in the present petition, petitioners No.1 & 2 aged about 79 and 74 years, respectively, have filed the present petition, praying therein to issue directions to respondents No.1, 3 & 4, to protect their life and liberty. It has further been prayed to direct respondent No.3 to take appropriate action on the representation dated 04.06.2025 (Annexure P6) and also to direct respondent No.2 to expeditiously decide the application dated 16.04.2025, bearing No.22 of 2025, filed by them under Sections 21 and 22 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (Annexure P4).

2. Learned counsel submits that both the petitioners are senior citizens and are residing in their self-acquired property i.e. House No.5926, Maloya Colony, Chandigarh. The couple was blessed with seven children; six



daughters and one son. The son was got married to respondent No.7. Unfortunately, the behaviour and conduct of their son and daughter-in-law was not very welcoming and upto the mark. Instead of giving the emotional support to them, respondents No.6 and 7 have been continuously harassing them. This arrogant behaviour on the part of their own son and daughter-in-law, led them (petitioners) to file a petition under Sections 21 and 22 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 before the Additional District Magistrate, Chandigarh, on 16.05.2025 (Annexure P5). Though an order was passed by respondent No.2, directing SHO, Police Station Maloya, UT Chandigarh, to depute one Sub Inspector of Police with one lady constable to visit the house of the petitioners to ensure the protection of life and property, but nothing has been done in this regard. The orders passed by respondent No.2 have also been referred to by learned counsel, who further submits that no action has been taken by the local police, as a result of which, the said order remained unimplemented. Petitioners had also moved a representation dated 04.06.2025 (Annexure P6) to the SSP, Chandigarh to provide adequate security to them, even the same has not been decided till date. With this background, the present petition has been filed.

2. Heard. Documents on record have been perused.
3. In view of the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh to decide the representation dated 04.06.2025 (Annexure P6) within a period of two weeks. Meanwhile, respondent No.2 is also directed to expeditiously dispose of petition under



CRWP-9776-2025

Sections 21 and 22 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, filed by the petitioners.

09.09.2025

Vivek

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No