



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M-52652-2024
Decided on : 13.01.2025**

Yogesh Kumar alias Gaurav

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Narinder Kumar.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 34 of IPC, in a case arising out of FIR No. 118, dated 22.03.2024, registered at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat.

2. From the contents of the FIR, it is apparent that the money transactions were there between the petitioner or petitioner's party on one side and the complainant/complainant/s party on the other side. From the period mentioned in the FIR, it comes out that from 2008 to 2018, the loan amount was given to Nisha @ Rubi (mother of the petitioner). Thus, counsel argues that basically, there may be some money dispute between the parties, however, the story has been coloured with the version of sending the son of the complainant abroad.

3. Moreover, in pursuance to order the dated 22.10.2024, passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation,

and he is not required for any further investigation purpose.

4. Learned counsel for the State on instructions from SI Narinder Kumar, confirms the said averment. However, he submits that petitioner is not cooperating, as money is to be recovered from him.

5. Besides, learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 11.01.2025, by way of affidavit of Rahul dev, HPS, Asstt. Commissioner of Police, City, Sonipat, on behalf of the respondent – State, in Court today. Same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

6. Heard.

7. Taking into consideration the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner by referring the period of loan and money transactions from the FIR, nothing is required to be commented thereupon. Since, the petitioner has joined investigation and said fact is also confirmed by learned State counsel, therefore, the present petition is allowed and the ad-interim bail order dated 22.10.2024 is hereby made absolute.

8. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

9. Petition is disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 13, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No