



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CR-6317-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-**10.09.2025**

MANOJ ALIAS MANOJ KUMAR AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

POONAM

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Ms. Tanya Vashist, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she does not wish to press the present revision petition on merits. However, a request has been made that the learned First Appellate Court may be directed to decide the pending appeal in a time-bound manner.
2. Having regard to the fact that the dispute pertains to specific performance of a contract, and keeping in view that such contracts are required to be expeditiously performed with due expedition, the learned Additional District Judge is directed to dispose of the appeal within a period of **one year** from the next date fixed before the learned Appellate Court.
3. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands also disposed of.

10.09.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No