



CRM-M-49811-2025

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-49811-2025
Date of decision: 06.11.2025

GURBIR SINGH ALIAS SABBA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab assisted by ASI Satpal.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.137 dated 24.05.2025, registered under Sections 21-C, 29 of NDPS Act at Police Station Anti Narcotics Force (ANTF), (Police Station mentioned in the impugned order as STF, SAS Nagar) ANTF Wing.

2. On 08.09.2025, following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.137 dated 24.05.2025, registered under Sections 21-C, 29 of NDPS Act at Police Station Anti Narcotics Force (ANTF), (Police Station mentioned in the impugned order as STF, SAS Nagar) ANTF Wing.

Notice of motion. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per prosecution case, a secret information was received that Nishan Singh and Gurbir Singh indulge in the sale of narcotics and on the basis of said secret information, one Nishan Singh was arrested on 24.05.2025 and 500 grams of heroin was recovered from his possession. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner was not apprehended and nothing has been recovered from his possession. Even co-accused Nishan Singh had not



CRM-M-49811-2025

- 2-

nominated the petitioner as an accused and merely because he was named in the secret information is not sufficient to connect him with the alleged offence. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that a specific information was received that petitioner along with said Nishan Singh indulges in narcotics and as such, his custodial interrogation is essential for further investigation of the case and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 06.11.2025 for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023.”

3. Today learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 08.09.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 08.09.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

06.11.2025
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No