

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.173157



CRM-M-50088-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.12.2025

JAGJIT SINGH **... PETITIONER**
STATE OF PUNJAB **VERSUS** **... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.140 dated 21.10.2022, under Section 15(c) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on, registered at Police Station Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner along with the co-accused were arrested at the spot and found in possession of 1 quintal 40 kg of poppy husk.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case. The petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years, 01 month and 14 days. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Sanjeev Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Kotkapura, District Faridkot and

custody certificate in Court, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years, 01 month and 14 days. Out of total 18 prosecution witnesses, only 9 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and the fact that petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years, 01 month and 14 days; out of total 18 prosecution witnesses, only 9 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far; petitioner is not involved in any other case; the conclusion of trial is going to take some time, therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.12.2025

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No