



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

CWP-26444-2025
Date of Decision: 17.09.2025

Mange Ram

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Himalya Makkad, Advocate for the petitioner
(through Video Conferencing)

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant extension in service to the petitioner up to sixty years of age on account of being more than seventy per cent disabled.

2. Learned State counsel contends that the extension has been granted to the petitioner to remain in service up to sixty years of age, vide order dated 16.09.2025.

3. In view thereof, the petition has been rendered infructuous, and disposed of accordingly.

17.09.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE
Yes/No
Yes/No