

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223

CRM-M-55670-2023
Date of decision: 20.02.2025

Sandeep SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Yet again a request for adjournment has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. This Court vide order dated 10.01.2024, issued notice of motion, however, a perusal of orders passed thereafter reveal that either a request for adjournment had been made by learned counsel for the petitioner or there had been no representation on his behalf.

3. The case is being adjourned time and again and apparently the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter any further.

4. Hon'ble the Supreme Court on 19.11.2024 in *Nilesh Dnyaneshwar Desale Versus The State of Maharashtra: SLP (Criminal) Diary No.22040/2024* has deprecated the practice of adjournments and dismissed the case for want of prosecution.

5. The instant petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution in the above given circumstances.

20.02.2025

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No