



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.1911 of 2019 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 19.03.2025**

Manju Dhanda

.....Appellant.

Versus

Kurukshetra University Kurukshetra and another

.....Respondents.

**CORAM:    HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA  
                 HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present:-    Ms. Shweta, Advocate for  
                 Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate  
                 for the appellant.

Mr. R.S. Rana, Advocate  
for the respondents.

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)**

We are not satisfied with the reasons given out in the application for condonation of delay of 583 days in filing the appeal. The reason coming forward is inadvertent oversight resulting in the brief of the appeal being misplaced. However, no supporting document to show that the brief was misplaced and a search was carried out in the High Court or elsewhere has been produced by the appellant.

2.            We also notice that even the writ-petition was filed after three years of resigning from service and after the resignation was duly accepted by the Vice-Chancellor of the respondent-University. No reasons have been



put forward as to why the petitioner did not approach the Court for three years.

3. Thus, it is a case of long slumber of the appellant which continues even before she came to the Court in the writ-petition. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of gross laches and delay. Application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal also stands dismissed.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 19, 2025**  
Yag Dutt

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes/No  
*Whether Reportable:* Yes/No