



233 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51265-2025
Date of decision: 27.11.2025

PUNEET MAHAJAN

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Ms. Promila Nain, Sr. Advocate with
 Mr. Mohinder Singh, Advocate
 Mr. Amit Thakur, Advocate and
 Ms. Kanchan Kumari, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Pragyat Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.54 dated 06.04.2025 registered under Section 109 of BNS, 2023 (Sections 115(2), 118(1) and 351 of BNS added later on) at Police Station Sector 34, Chandigarh.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Ms. Gurjeet Kaur, DSP, SDPO-South filed on behalf of U.T. Chandigarh is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.



4. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Satish Mahajan with the allegations that he along with his family lives at House No.2165 Top floor, Sec 44-C, Chandigarh after his retirement from service. On 06.04.2025, he was present at home along with his wife and maid Pushpa while his son Puneet Mahajan (petitioner) was also at home. His son keeps fighting with them by insisting for new things. Few days ago, he purchased a car worth Rs.10,00,000/- for him on his insistence but for the last few days, he was pressurizing them to buy him a separate flat worth Rs.80,00,000/- at Kharar and was angry with them for the last 3-4 days. On 06.04.2025 at about 01.00 PM, he started saying in anger that today he will bring a sword or a gun and finish everyone. He along with his wife was constantly trying to convince him to calm down but Puneet Mahajan picked up a glass jug kept on the table and hit the same on the head of his wife 3-4 times. Then he along with their maid Pushpa tried to rescue his wife but Puneet picked up a knife kept on the table and stabbed Pushpa as well as him several times with the knife and started saying that today he will kill everyone. On hearing the noise, his nephew Atul, who lives at the ground floor came running upstairs and tried to save them but Puneet Mahajan stabbed Atul also multiple times with an intention to kill him. Thereafter, they were taken to hospital for treatment where his wife is serious and he sought action against his son Puneet Mahajan. Matter was investigated. Medico Legal Reports of the victims were obtained. Petitioner was arrested on 06.04.2025 and after completion of investigation, final report has been presented in the Court for trial.



5. Learned Senior counsel representing the petitioner argued that petitioner is suffering from Psychiatric disorder since year 2010 as is apparent from the treatment record (Annexure P-4), which runs into several pages. Learned counsel contended that the alleged offence was committed while the petitioner was not in a fit state of mind and his mental condition was not sound. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner will not get proper treatment while in jail. Now even his parents and the other victims have no objection in case, the petitioner is released on bail. Learned counsel further contended that none of the victims has suffered any injury which could be dangerous to life or sufficient to cause death. Only one of the victim Atul has suffered a grievous injury with a sharp weapon but the injury on his person has not been declared as dangerous to life or sufficient to cause death. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2025. The trial will take a long time to conclude and as such, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he may be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and in case he is released on bail, he may repeat the offence or intimidate the witnesses. However, learned counsel representing the complainant has submitted that he has no objection in case the petitioner is released on bail.

7. No injury on the person of any of victims has been declared as dangerous to life which could be sufficient to cause death. One injury with sharp weapon on the person of Atul has been declared as grievous.



As to whether petitioner had the intention to kill anyone shall be subject matter of trial. The medical treatment record placed on file since the year 2010 shows that the petitioner was suffering from some psychiatric disorder. Petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2025. The trial will take a long time to conclude and in view of no objection raised by learned counsel for the complainant, further detention of the petitioner is thus not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

9. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

27.11.2025
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No