



CRM-M-49610-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222/2

CRM-M-49610-2025
Decided on : 23.09.2025

SATNAM SINGH . . . PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB . . . RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Manglesh Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate]
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Satnam Singh	0052	26.04.2025	115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 118(1), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023	City Samana	Patiala

2. On 08.09.2025, following order was passed:-



CRM-M-49610-2025

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS,2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Satnam Singh	0052	26.04.2025	115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 118(1), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS	City Samana	Patiala

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that present case is, in fact, one of version and cross-version, as members of the petitioner’s side have also sustained injuries at the hands of the complainant party. This is evident from the Medico-Legal Reports dated 25.04.2025, prepared at Civil Hospital, Samana, which clearly show that petitioner Satnam Singh and his associate, Prem Singh, both sustained injuries. Counsel refers to the Medico-Legal Reports annexed as Annexures P-2 and P-3, respectively. For reference, details of the injuries are reproduced below:

Sr. No.	Injuries suffered by Satnam Singh	Marked	Injury Number
1.	Patient Complaining Pain in the back of left hand. No injury seen. Adv X ray and Ortho Opinion	Yes	1
2.	Patient Complaining pain in the left forearm Lower one third. No injury seen. Adv xray and ortho opinion	Yes	2



CRM-M-49610-2025

3.	<i>Abrasion of Size 0.2X0.2 cm over base of index finger of right hand over back. Adv xray and ortho opinion</i>	Yes	3
----	--	-----	---

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Injuries suffered by Prem Singh</i>	<i>Marked</i>	<i>Injury Number</i>
1	<i>Contusion of size 3 cm x 0.6 cm Vertically placed over right side of nech. Adv. Xray and ortho opinion</i>	Yes	4
2.	<i>Patient complaining pain at base of index finger of left hand. No injury. Adv xray and ortho opinion</i>	Yes	3
3.	<i>Lacerated wound of size 0.8 cm x 0.1 cm horizontally placed 1.5 cm aboe the right ear over right temporal area. Adv xray and surgery opinion</i>	Yes	1
4.	<i>Multiple abrasions around the whole left writs of variable sizes. Adv. Xray and ortho opinion</i>	Yes	2
5.	<i>Abrasion of size 1 cm x 1 cm over right cheek central part. Adv x ray and surgery opinion</i>	Yes	5

3. Learned counsel further submits that injury attributed to the petitioner is a gandassi blow on the forehead of complainant, Harjinder Singh, which has been medically opined to be simple in nature. It is further submitted that co-accused/Prem Singh, who is alleged to have inflicted a simple injury with a rod on the complainant's right cheek, has already been granted interim

**CRM-M-49610-2025**

anticipatory bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 10.07.2025, passed in CRM-M-35594-2025. Counsel, therefore, submits that petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and prays that he be granted protection from arrest.

4. *Notice of motion.*

On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State.

5. *Adjourned to 23.09.2025.*

6. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

7. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-33601-2025, CRM-M-35594-2025”.

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 08.09.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 02.09.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

2025:PHHC:134358



CRM-M-49610-2025

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 08.09.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

September 23, 2025
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No