



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-49442-2025 (O&M)**Decided on : 30.10.2025**

Balwinder Kaur

. . .Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . .Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

PRESENT: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.106 dated 07.08.2025, registered under Sections 316(2)/318(4)/143 of BNS, registered at Police Station Bhogpur, Jalandhar.

2. On 04.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that sons of the complainant and petitioner were friends and wanted to go abroad to settle through agent namely Sachin, however, his son reached Canada and that of complaint being Diabetic, has returned being his medical having not cleared. The false allegations have been levelled against him and the amount that was taken from the complainant, regarding which even a compromise was arrived at between them, Annexure P-2. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG Punjab



accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 13.09.2025. In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 30.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 04.09.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section **438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)**

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State



would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

October 30, 2025
Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*