



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-4525-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.11.2025

Darshan Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sukhjeet Pal Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate with
Mr. Abhishek Masih, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 17.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present:- Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Masih, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.*

*Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that
the respondent has taken a decision to regularise the services
of the petitioners subject to the decision in the review
application in SLP which has been filed by the respondent-
State.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioners has pointed out that
the order does not give the correct names of the petitioners
and it has not been happily worded.*

Learned counsel for the respondent-State prays for an



adjournment to pass a fresh order and also to submit the true translation of the said order.

Adjourned to 26.11.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.

17.11.2025”

2. Learned counsel for the respondent has filed a compliance report by way of an affidavit dated 25.11.2025 today in the Court which is taken on record and a copy of the same has been given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has made a reference to the order dated 16.11.2025 to state that necessary relief has been granted to the petitioners. It is pointed out that inadvertently, while signing the said order, the competent authority has given a date as 16.10.2025 whereas the correct date is 16.11.2025 thus, the said date i.e., 16.10.2025 be read as 16.11.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the abovesaid stand, the present contempt petition be disposed of but in case petitioners have any grievance left, liberty be granted to the petitioners to challenge the order dated 16.11.2025.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

26.11.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No