



104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-177-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13th August, 2025

SUDESH

.....Appellant(s)

V/s.

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present Mr. Aman Verma, Advocate for
 Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,
 for the appellant.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Appellant's claim for appointment to the post of Driver was declined by the authorities on the ground that requisite experience of three years' driving of Heavy Transport Vehicles was not available with the appellant. Learned Single Bench has gone into the records to find that though, the licence was originally granted to the appellant on 19.04.2007, but the same was in the category of Light Motor Vehicles (LMV) and that the licence for Heavy Transport Vehicles (HTV) required for the post was issued only on 06.08.2010. The licence granted to drive Heavy Transport Vehicles was otherwise available up to 10.10.2012 and consequently, there was shortfall of few months in completing three years' training.

2. Though the finding of the learned Single Bench is assailed, but we do not find any substance in the arguments advanced on behalf of the appellant inasmuch as issuance of HTV licence on 06.08.2010 as well as its continuance up to 10.10.2012 is reflected from the report of scrutiny of the

candidature contained in Page 149-150 of the paper book. No contra material is placed on record.

3. In that view of the matter, rejection of the appellant’s claim on account of shortage in the period of experience merits calls for no interference. Accordingly, the present LPA stands **dismissed**.

4. All pending applications, if any, in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

August 13, 2025
Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>