

2025:PHHC:126230



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

309

CRM-M-50169-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.09.2025

Sandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 528 read with Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, is for grant of interim bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 103 dated 13.08.2024, registered under Section 21(C), 25 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (*for short 'NDPS Act'*) at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he is suffering from the problems in his teeth and is even unable to eat properly. Though, he was provided treatment from Civil Hospital, Ludhiana but the same was not upto mark. He is ready to bear the expenses for taking treatment from some private dentist. Apart this, he has stones in his kidney and is suffering from abdominal pain due to that reason. He wants to get his scanning done for the said purpose. However, he is neither allowed to get his

2025:PHHC:126230



scanning done nor any treatment is being provided to him for this problem, due to which, he is suffering a lot. Therefore, prayer is made for granting him interim bail and releasing him for a period of two months, so that he can get his scanning done and can get himself properly treated.

3. Respondent-State has filed reply along with the report of the Senior Medical Officer, Central Jail, Ludhiana, as per which, the petitioner was having dental problem. He had been advised treatment and to consult dental surgeon. On 12.08.2025, he had made complaint of pain in his abdomen and was managed conservatively. His general condition is stable. It is argued by learned State counsel that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. There are chances of his absconding, if extended benefit of interim bail. Even otherwise, his condition is stable and he is being provided proper treatment while being in jail. It is, therefore, urged that the petition is liable to be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and have also perused the material placed on record.

6. The fact that the petitioner is having some dental problem has not been disputed by the respondent-State. As per report of the doctor, he has been advised capping of teeth. This treatment can be provided to him while being in custody also. Similarly, it is only on 12.08.2025 that he is shown to have made complaint of abdominal pain which was managed conservatively. His condition is stable as on date. There is nothing to show that he has stones in his kidney. As such, I find no reason to allow the present petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed. However, in case the

2025:PHHC:126230



petitioner makes any complaint with regard to abdominal pain or any other complaint relating to his health, he shall immediately be provided due medical attention by the jail authorities.

7. It is made clear that any observation made herein above is only for the purpose of deciding the present petition and the same shall have no bearing on the merits of the case.

16.09.2025
Wassem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No