



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-26415-2025**Date of Decision: 05.09.2025**

KAMALJIT KAUR

...Petitioner

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Tapish Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Addl. Standing Counsel with
Mr. Brijesh Vashist, Jr. Panel Counsel for U.T. Chandigarh

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondent-SBI

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to revise her pension.

2. The petitioner is widow of Late Sh. Joginder Singh. She is entitled to family pension, however, respondent despite death of her husband are crediting pension in her husband's account. She claims that she has already informed authorities. They are not releasing family pension.

3. Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, who is present in Court on behalf of respondent-SBI and Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Addl. Standing Counsel on behalf of respondent-U.T. Administration, submit that the competent authority would look into the matter and do the needful within

one month from today.

- 4. Learned counsel for the respondent-SBI pointed out that direction be issued to Assistant General Manager, CPCC, SBI Sector-5, Panchkula being the concerned authority.
- 5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the instant petition stands disposed of.
- 6. Let the needful be done by branch concerned within one month from today, in accordance with law.
- 7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.09.2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No